Introduction to SET Theory Mingle 2020

Luke Kershaw

University of Bristol

l.kershaw@bristol.ac.uk

25 September 2020



SAC

< ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < </p>

There are $81 = 3^4$ cards in a game of SET.

5900

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

There are $81 = 3^4$ cards in a game of SET.

\diamond	$\diamond \diamond$	$\diamond \diamond \diamond$	0	00	000	S	SS	SSS
\$		$\diamondsuit \diamondsuit \diamondsuit$				ł	11	222
•	• •	**				2	55	333
\diamond	$\Diamond \Diamond$	$\diamond \diamond \diamond$	0	00	000	S	SS	888
\$		$\diamondsuit \diamondsuit \diamondsuit$					11	222
•	• •	**				2	22	333
\diamond	$\diamond \diamond$	$\diamond \diamond \diamond$	0	00	000	S	SS	SSS
\$	♦ ♦	$\diamondsuit \diamondsuit \diamondsuit$				l	11	222
•	• •	$\diamond \diamond \diamond$				2	22	333

5900

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

S Π

An example of a SET.

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

990



990





An example of a SET.

SAC





Luke Kershaw (Bristol)

Introduction to SET Theory

25 September 2020

5900

イロト イロト イモト イモン

Luke Kershaw (Bristol)

5900

Since each card is uniquely determined by its 4 attributes, each of which have 3 options, we can encode cards as elements of \mathbb{F}_3^4 (where $\mathbb{F}_3=\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the field with 3 elements).

< = > < @ > < E > < E</p>

Since each card is uniquely determined by its 4 attributes, each of which have 3 options, we can encode cards as elements of \mathbb{F}_3^4 (where $\mathbb{F}_3 = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the field with 3 elements).

For example:

< ロ ト < 団 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

SETs in \mathbb{F}_3^4

Luke Kershaw (Bristol)

1 25 September 2020

900

《口》《圖》《臣》《臣》

Luke Kershaw (Bristol)

990

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

First note that if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_3$, then $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ or $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = \{0, 1, 2\}.$

5900

First note that if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_3$, then $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ or $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = \{0, 1, 2\}.$

It is now clear that a SET corresponds to a triple of points $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_3^4$ with a + b + c = (0, 0, 0, 0).

イロト イヨト イモト イモン

First note that if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_3$, then $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ or $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = \{0, 1, 2\}.$

It is now clear that a SET corresponds to a triple of points $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_3^4$ with a + b + c = (0, 0, 0, 0).

Since $2 = -1 \in \mathbb{F}_3$, we have:

$$b-c=b-c+(a+b+c)=a+2b=a-b$$

イロト イヨト イモト イモン

First note that if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_3$, then $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ or $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = \{0, 1, 2\}.$

It is now clear that a SET corresponds to a triple of points $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_3^4$ with a + b + c = (0, 0, 0, 0).

Since $2 = -1 \in \mathbb{F}_3$, we have:

$$b - c = b - c + (a + b + c) = a + 2b = a - b$$

This means that a SET corresponds to a line in \mathbb{F}_3^4 .

イロト イヨト イモト イモン

The choice that there are 4 characteristics per card was arbitrary. So we could choose any number d of characteristics.

5900

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

The choice that there are 4 characteristics per card was arbitrary. So we could choose any number d of characteristics.



5900

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 注 ト < 注</p>

The choice that there are 4 characteristics per card was arbitrary. So we could choose any number d of characteristics.



5900

We call a subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^d$ a <u>d-cap</u> if there are no distinct $a, b, c \in X$ such that $a + b + c = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_3^d$.

5900

We call a subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^d$ a \underline{d} -cap if there are no distinct $a, b, c \in X$ such that $a + b + c = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_3^d$.

This is equivalent to a subset X which contains no lines.

5900

We call a subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^d$ a <u>d-cap</u> if there are no distinct $a, b, c \in X$ such that $a + b + c = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_3^d$.

This is equivalent to a subset X which contains no lines.

One interesting question here is what is the maximal size of a *d*-cap.

SAC

イロト イロト イモト イモ

We call a subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^d$ a <u>*d*-cap</u> if there are no distinct $a, b, c \in X$ such that $a + b + c = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_3^d$.

This is equivalent to a subset X which contains no lines.

One interesting question here is what is the maximal size of a *d*-cap. For d = 4, this corresponds to the question:

In a standard game of SET, what is the maximal size of a collection of cards that contain no SETS?

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

Maximal 1-cap and 2-cap

	d = 1		<i>d</i> = 2	
		\diamond	$\diamond \diamond$	$\diamond \diamond \diamond$
All cards	$\diamond \diamond $	¢		$\clubsuit \clubsuit \diamondsuit$
		•	••	**
Maximal <i>d-</i> cap				

990

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Maximal 1-cap and 2-cap



5900

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 注 ト < 注</p>

Maximal 1-cap and 2-cap



5900

< ロ ト < 団 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

Maximal 3-cap



Maximal d-cap

5900

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

Maximal 3-cap



\diamond	$\diamond \diamond$	$\diamond \diamond \diamond$	0	00	000	S	SS	888
¢	♦ ♦	$\diamondsuit \diamondsuit \diamondsuit$				ł	11	222
•	• •	**				2	55	555
\diamond	$\diamond \diamond$	$\diamond \diamond \diamond$	0	00	000	S	88	888
\$	♦ ♦	$\diamondsuit \diamondsuit \diamondsuit$				2	22	222
•	• •	***				2	22	333
\diamond	$\diamond \diamond$	$\diamond \diamond \diamond$	0	00	000	S	SS	SSS
¢	\diamond	$\diamondsuit \diamondsuit \diamondsuit$					88	222
•	♦ ♦	$\diamond \diamond \diamond$				2	22	322

990

《口》《圖》《臣》《臣》



5900

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

These are the only maximal d-caps that can be readily found by exhaustive computer search.

d	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Size of Maximal <i>d</i> -cap	2	4	9	20			

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

500

These are the only maximal d-caps that can be readily found by exhaustive computer search.

d	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Size of Maximal <i>d</i> -cap	2	4	9	20	45		

The maximal size for d = 5 was found in 2006, using a novel approach to radically reduce the number of cases to check.

These are the only maximal d-caps that can be readily found by exhaustive computer search.

d		2	3	4	5	6	7
Size of Maximal <i>d</i> -cap	2	4	9	20	45	$112 \leq ? \leq 114$?

The maximal size for d = 5 was found in 2006, using a novel approach to radically reduce the number of cases to check. No further exact values are known.

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶
▲□▶